


Management accounting and decisions IV

Yun Shen

View Online



1.

Kaplan, Robert S. The Evolution of Management Accounting. Accounting Review [Internet]. 1984;59(3). Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=4490847&site=ehost-live>

2.

H. Thomas J, Robert S. K. The Rise and Fall of Management Accounting. 1987; Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/articleDetails.jsp?arnumber=4306297>

3.

Kaplan RS. Management accounting (1984-1994): development of new practice and theory. Management Accounting Research. 1994;5(3-4):247-260.

4.

Otley D. Did Kaplan and Johnson get it right? Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal. 2008;21(2):229-239.

5.

Ahrens T, Becker A, Burns J, Chapman CS, Granlund M, Habersam M, Hansen A, Khalifa R, Malmi T, Mennicken A, Mikes A, Panozzo F, Piber M, Quattrone P, Scheytt T. The future of interpretive accounting research—A polyphonic debate. Critical Perspectives on Accounting. 2008;19(6):840-866.

6.

Baxter J, Chua WF. Alternative management accounting research—whence and whither. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 2003;28(2-3):97-126.

7.

Mitchell F. Research and practice in management accounting: improving integration and communication. *European Accounting Review*. 2002;11(2):277-289.

8.

Scapens RW. Understanding management accounting practices: A personal journey. *The British Accounting Review*. 2006;38(1):1-30.

9.

Scapens RW. Researching management accounting practice: The role of case study methods. *The British Accounting Review*. 1990;22(3):259-281.

10.

Cooper, David J. Case Study Research in Accounting. *Accounting Horizons* [Internet]. 2008;22(2). Available from:
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=33142893&site=ehost-live>

11.

Vaivio J. Qualitative management accounting research: rationale, pitfalls and potential. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*. 2008;5(1):64-86.

12.

Adcroft, Andy. The (un)intended outcome of public sector performance measurement. *The International Journal of Public Sector Management* [Internet]. Emerald Group Publishing, Limited; 2005;18(4/5):386-400. Available from:
<http://search.proquest.com/docview/234409173?accountid=16676>

13.

de Bruijn, Hans. Performance measurement in the public sector: Strategies to cope with the risks of performance measurement. *The International Journal of Public Sector Management* [Internet]. Emerald Group Publishing, Limited; 2002;15(6/7):578-594. Available from:
<http://search.proquest.com/docview/234402479/817446D95CF840F8PQ/12?accountid=16676>

14.

Leeuw, Frans L. Performance auditing, new public management and performance improvement: questions and answers. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal* [Internet]. Emerald Group Publishing, Limited; 1996;9(2). Available from:
<http://search.proquest.com/docview/211210114?accountid=16676>

15.

Propper C. The Use and Usefulness of Performance Measures in the Public Sector. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*. 2003;19(2):250-267.

16.

Adnett N, Davies P. Schooling reforms in England: from quasi-markets to co-opetition? *Journal of Education Policy*. 2003;18(4):393-406.

17.

Ballantine J, Forker J, Greenwood M. THE GOVERNANCE OF CEO INCENTIVES IN ENGLISH NHS HOSPITAL TRUSTS. *Financial Accountability & Management*. 2008;24(4):385-410.

18.

Conrad L, Guven Uslu P. UK health sector performance management: Conflict, crisis and unintended consequences. *Accounting Forum*. 2012;36(4):231-250.

19.

Ellwood S. Full-cost pricing rules within the National Health Service internal market-accounting choices and the achievement of productive efficiency. *Management Accounting Research*. 1996;7(1):25–51.

20.

Wragg T, Haynes G, Chamberlin R, Wragg C. Performance-related pay: the views and experiences of 1,000 primary and secondary head teachers. *Research Papers in Education*. 2003;18(1):3–23.

21.

Burns J, Scapens RW. Conceptualizing management accounting change: an institutional framework. *Management Accounting Research*. 2000;11(1):3–25.

22.

Kasurinen T. Exploring management accounting change: the case of balanced scorecard implementation. *Management Accounting Research*. 2002;13(3):323–343.

23.

Siti-Nabiha, A K. Stability and change: an institutionalist study of management accounting change. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal* [Internet]. Emerald Group Publishing, Limited; 2005;18(1):44–73. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/211215249?accountid=16676>

24.

Howcroft D. Spreadsheets and the financial planning process: a case study of resistance to change. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*. 2006;2(3):248–280.

25.

Montano JLA, Donoso JA, Hassall T, Joyce J. Vocational skills in the accounting professional profile: the Chartered Institute of Management Accountants (CIMA) employers' opinion. *Accounting Education*. 2001;10(3):299–313.

26.

Li Q, Luo W, Wang Y, Wu L. Firm performance, corporate ownership, and corporate social responsibility disclosure in China. *Business Ethics: A European Review*. 2013;22(2):159–173.

27.

Finch N. The Motivations for Adopting Sustainability Disclosure. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. 2005;

28.

Accounting for Sustainability PART I: A review of academic literature [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.accountingforsustainability.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/12/A4S-Report-Part-1-A-review-of-academic-literature-Sa%C3%AFd-Business-School-Oxford-University.pdf>

29.

The value of extrafinancial disclosure What investors and analysts said [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.globalreporting.org/resource/library/The-value-of-extra-financial-disclosure.pdf>

30.

The Private Finance Initiative in the National Health Service [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.cimaglobal.com/Documents/ImportedDocuments/tech_resrep_the_private_finance_initiative_in_the_national_health_service_2004.pdf

31.

De Vera MJ, Torio PC, Timbang MO, Siriban C. Asian Public-Private Partnerships: An Overview of Trends and Innovations. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. 2013;

32.

Mu R, Jong M de, Koppenjan J. The rise and fall of Public–Private Partnerships in China: a

path-dependent approach. *Journal of Transport Geography*. 2011;19(4):794–806.