

Management accounting and decisions IV

Yun Shen

View Online



1.

Kaplan, Robert S. The Evolution of Management Accounting. Accounting Review [Internet]. 1984;59(3). Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=4490847&site=ehost-live>

2.

H. Thomas J, Robert S. K. The Rise and Fall of Management Accounting. 1987; Available from: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/articleDetails.jsp?arnumber=4306297>

3.

Kaplan RS. Management accounting (1984-1994): development of new practice and theory. Management Accounting Research. 1994;5(3-4):247-60.

4.

Otley D. Did Kaplan and Johnson get it right? Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal. 2008;21(2):229-39.

5.

Ahrens T, Becker A, Burns J, Chapman CS, Granlund M, Habersam M, et al. The future of interpretive accounting research—A polyphonic debate. Critical Perspectives on Accounting. 2008;19(6):840-66.

6.

Baxter J, Chua WF. Alternative management accounting research—whence and whither. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 2003;28(2-3):97-126.

7.

Mitchell F. Research and practice in management accounting: improving integration and communication. *European Accounting Review*. 2002;11(2):277-89.

8.

Scapens RW. Understanding management accounting practices: A personal journey. *The British Accounting Review*. 2006;38(1):1-30.

9.

Scapens RW. Researching management accounting practice: The role of case study methods. *The British Accounting Review*. 1990;22(3):259-81.

10.

Cooper, David J. Case Study Research in Accounting. *Accounting Horizons* [Internet]. 2008;22(2). Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=33142893&site=ehost-live>

11.

Vaivio J. Qualitative management accounting research: rationale, pitfalls and potential. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*. 2008;5(1):64-86.

12.

Adcroft, Andy. The (un)intended outcome of public sector performance measurement. *The International Journal of Public Sector Management* [Internet]. 2005;18(4/5):386-400. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/234409173?accountid=16676>

13.

de Bruijn, Hans. Performance measurement in the public sector: Strategies to cope with the risks of performance measurement. *The International Journal of Public Sector Management* [Internet]. 2002;15(6/7):578–94. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/234402479/817446D95CF840F8PQ/12?accountid=16676>

14.

Leeuw, Frans L. Performance auditing, new public management and performance improvement: questions and answers. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal* [Internet]. 1996;9(2). Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/211210114?accountid=16676>

15.

Propper C. The Use and Usefulness of Performance Measures in the Public Sector. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*. 2003;19(2):250–67.

16.

Adnett N, Davies P. Schooling reforms in England: from quasi-markets to co-opetition? *Journal of Education Policy*. 2003;18(4):393–406.

17.

Ballantine J, Forker J, Greenwood M. THE GOVERNANCE OF CEO INCENTIVES IN ENGLISH NHS HOSPITAL TRUSTS. *Financial Accountability & Management*. 2008;24(4):385–410.

18.

Conrad L, Guven Uslu P. UK health sector performance management: Conflict, crisis and unintended consequences. *Accounting Forum*. 2012;36(4):231–50.

19.

Ellwood S. Full-cost pricing rules within the National Health Service internal market-accounting choices and the achievement of productive efficiency. *Management*

Accounting Research. 1996;7(1):25-51.

20.

Wragg T, Haynes G, Chamberlin R, Wragg C. Performance-related pay: the views and experiences of 1,000 primary and secondary head teachers. *Research Papers in Education*. 2003;18(1):3-23.

21.

Burns J, Scapens RW. Conceptualizing management accounting change: an institutional framework. *Management Accounting Research*. 2000;11(1):3-25.

22.

Kasurinen T. Exploring management accounting change: the case of balanced scorecard implementation. *Management Accounting Research*. 2002;13(3):323-43.

23.

Siti-Nabiha, A K. Stability and change: an institutionalist study of management accounting change. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal* [Internet]. 2005;18(1):44-73. Available from: <http://search.proquest.com/docview/211215249?accountid=16676>

24.

Howcroft D. Spreadsheets and the financial planning process: a case study of resistance to change. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*. 2006;2(3):248-80.

25.

Montano JLA, Donoso JA, Hassall T, Joyce J. Vocational skills in the accounting professional profile: the Chartered Institute of Management Accountants (CIMA) employers' opinion. *Accounting Education*. 2001;10(3):299-313.

26.

Li Q, Luo W, Wang Y, Wu L. Firm performance, corporate ownership, and corporate social responsibility disclosure in China. *Business Ethics: A European Review*. 2013;22(2):159–73.

27.

Finch N. The Motivations for Adopting Sustainability Disclosure. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. 2005;

28.

Accounting for Sustainability PART I: A review of academic literature [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.accountingforsustainability.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/12/A4S-Report-Part-1-A-review-of-academic-literature-Sa%C3%AFd-Business-School-Oxford-University.pdf>

29.

The value of extrafinancial disclosure What investors and analysts said [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.globalreporting.org/resourcelibrary/The-value-of-extra-financial-disclosure.pdf>

30.

The Private Finance Initiative in the National Health Service [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.cimaglobal.com/Documents/ImportedDocuments/tech_resrep_the_private_finance_initiative_in_the_national_health_service_2004.pdf

31.

De Vera MJ, Torio PC, Timbang MO, Siriban C. Asian Public-Private Partnerships: An Overview of Trends and Innovations. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. 2013;

32.

Mu R, Jong M de, Koppenjan J. The rise and fall of Public–Private Partnerships in China: a path-dependent approach. *Journal of Transport Geography*. 2011;19(4):794–806.